

RE: Update on latest water sampling data from Dimock, Pa.

Roy Seneca to: McCarthy, Lorraine

Cc: Terri-A White

From: Roy Seneca/R3/USEPA/US

To: "McCarthy, Lorraine" <LMcCarthy@bna.com>

Cc: Terri-A White/R3/USEPA/US@EPA

Lorraine -- Hope this helps you out...

Will EPA supply drinking water to the two homes in this set of samples (32 and 35) where lead or arsenic levels are near or above the MCLs?

04/06/2012 03:09 PM

Answer: EPA has no plans at this time to supply drinking water to any of the 20 homes in this group. Well 32 had levels below the MCL at the tap. However, well 32 is the only home in this group that we plan to resample. Cabot is already providing an alternate water source for the home served by well 35.

Is EPA still supplying drinking water to those three homes from the first sample whose water exceeds the trigger level for arsenic or chromium?

Answer: Yes, EPA continues to supply water to the those three homes while we conduct a second round of sampling.

Roy Seneca EPA Region 3 Press Officer Office of Public Affairs seneca.roy@epa.gov (215) 814-5567

"McCarthy, Lorraine" Thanks! Are you able to answer those two questions? (Will EPA supply drinki... 04/06/2012 02:47:05 PM

From: "McCarthy, Lorraine" <LMcCarthy@bna.com>

To: Roy Seneca/R3/USEPA/US@EPA

Date: 04/06/2012 02:47 PM

Subject: RE: Update on latest water sampling data from Dimock, Pa.

Thanks! Are you able to answer those two questions?

(Will EPA supply drinking water to the two homes in this set of samples (32 and 35) where lead or arsenic levels are near or above the MCLs?)

(Is EPA still supplying drinking water to those three homes from the first sample whose water exceeds the trigger level for arsenic or chromium?)

>>>>>>>>>>>>>

Lorraine McCarthy Staff Correspondent

BNA

Direct 215.248.6337 Imccarthy@bna.com

From: Roy Seneca [mailto:Seneca.Roy@epamail.epa.gov]

Sent: Friday, April 06, 2012 2:44 PM

To: McCarthy, Lorraine

 $\textbf{Subject:} \ \mathsf{RE:} \ \mathsf{Update} \ \mathsf{on} \ \mathsf{latest} \ \mathsf{water} \ \mathsf{sampling} \ \mathsf{data} \ \mathsf{from} \ \mathsf{Dimock,} \ \mathsf{Pa}.$

Sorry -- I could not open that either. Here is the attachment and I also pasted the data

Dimock HWs by Week Reference Sheet - Page 1

ID

Date/Time 11 Homes

week 1

HW01 1/25/2012 1:30 HW02 1/25/2012 8:30

HW04 1/24/2012 1:30

HW05 1/26/2012 8:30

HW06 1/26/2012 1:30

HW08a 1/25/2012 8:30 HW12 1/26/2012 8:30

HW14 1/26/2012 1:30

HW17 1/27/2012 8:30

HW19 1/23/2012 1:30

DIM0250707

HW24 1/27/2012 8:30

20 Homes

week 2

HW-09 2/3/2012 1:30

HW-13 1/30/2012 8:30

HW-18 1/30/2012 8:30

HW-20 1/30/2012 1:30

HW-25 1/30/2012 1:30

HW-26 1/31/2012 8:30

1100-20 1/31/2012 0.50

HW-28a 2/3/2012 8:30

HW-28b 2/3/2012 1:30

HW-29a 1/31/2012 1:30

HW-32 2/1/2012 8:30

HW-33a 2/1/2012 8:30

HW-33b 2/1/2012 1:30

HW-34a 2/1/2012 1:30

HW-35 1/31/2012 8:30

HW-39 2/3/2012 8:30

HW-40 2/2/2012 1:30

HW-41 2/2/2012 1:30

HW-42 2/2/2012 8:30

HW-46 2/2/2012 8:30

HW-52 1/31/2012 1:30

Roy Seneca EPA Region 3 Press Officer Office of Public Affairs seneca.roy@epa.gov (215) 814-5567

From: "McCarthy, Lorraine" <LMcCarthy@bna.com>

To: Roy Seneca/R3/USEPA/US@EPA

Date: 04/06/2012 02:37 PM

Subject: RE: Update on latest water sampling data from Dimock, Pa.

Roy,

I can't open the pdf file—can you send it as an attachment?

Will EPA supply drinking water to the two homes in this set of samples (32 and 35) where lead or arsenic levels are near or above the MCLs?

Is EPA still supplying drinking water to those three homes from the first sample whose water exceeds the trigger level for arsenic or chromium?

Thanks, Lorraine

>>>>>>>>>>

Lorraine McCarthy

Staff Correspondent

BNA

Direct 215.248.6337 Imccarthy@bna.com

From: Roy Seneca [mailto:Seneca.Roy@epamail.epa.gov]

Sent: Friday, April 06, 2012 2:01 PM

To: McCarthy, Lorraine

Subject: RE: Update on latest water sampling data from Dimock, Pa.

Lorraine --- I hope this helps you out.

I count **28** wells in the list headed "Dimock week 1 and 2." Sample dates are Jan. 23-Feb.3.

But your note says the results are from the 2^{-1} set of sampling at <u>20</u> wells. Can you explain?

The sample dates referenced are actually 2 weeks of sampling. Samples during the 2nd week were taken 1/30/12 thru 2/3/12. See the attached chart to clarify which wells were in the 20, and which were in the first 11.

Also, the document says yellow-highlighted sample results (all of which I note are below the EPA and DEP MCLs) are referred to an EPA toxicologist for further review. What does that mean?

Some chemicals found do not have an EPA established maximum contaminant level (MCL). Therefore EPA ensures that an Agency toxicologist evaluates the results by performing a risk assessment to determine if the level found presents a health concern.



Roy Seneca EPA Region 3 Press Officer Office of Public Affairs seneca.roy@epa.gov (215) 814-5567

DIM0250707